

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES CLOSED MEETING - 6:00 P.M.**

**Village of Los Ranchos**

**September 24, 2003**

**Present:**

Penny Rembe, Trustee/Mayor Pro Tem  
David Siegel, Trustee  
Don Lopez, Trustee  
Pablo Rael, Trustee

Cyndie Tidwell, Planner  
Hank Rosoff, Administrator  
David Mathews, Attorney  
Annabelle Silvas, Clerk  
Allen Leatherwood, Treasurer

On Wednesday, September 24, 2003, the Village of Los Ranchos Board of Trustees held a closed meeting at 6:00 p.m. The purpose of the Closed Meeting was for the discussion of pending or threatened litigation regarding Sanchez vs. VLR pursuant to NMSA 10-15-1 (H) (7). The matters discussed in the Closed Meeting were limited to those specified in the notice of closure. The audit report for the fiscal year 2002/03 was not discussed.

**MINUTES**

**VILLAGE OF LOS RANCHOS DE ALBUQUERQUE**

**6718 RIO GRANDE BOULEVARD NW**

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES REGULAR MEETING – 7:00 P.M.**

**September 24, 2003**

**Present:**

John Hooker, Mayor  
Penny Rembe, Trustee/Mayor Pro Tem  
David Siegel, Trustee  
Don Lopez, Trustee  
Pablo Rael, Trustee

Cyndie Tidwell, Planner  
Hank Rosoff, Administrator  
David Mathews, Attorney  
Annabelle Silvas, Clerk  
Allen Leatherwood, Treasurer

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

The Village of Los Ranchos Governing Body held a Regular Meeting on Wednesday, Sept. 24, 2003 in the Warren J. Gray Hall. The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

**Mayor Hooker** stated that the Board held a closed session and said that the only matters discussed were the ones on the posted notice. He said the Board was directed, and voted unanimously, to take the action agreed upon in the pending litigation

**A. Approval of agenda**

**MOTION:** Trustee Lopez moved approval of the agenda. Trustee Rael seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

**B. Presentation by Ray Essiambre on CDBG Grant**

**Ray Essiambre** of Infracycle reported on the project he is performing for the Village under the CDBG planning grant. Mr. Essiambre is using software he has developed for analysis of development, revenues and life cycle costs of alternatives.

**C. Karen Jacobsen (ASCG) – Report on current projects**

**Karen Jacobsen** reported on the Trails Committee and the fact that they are planning to submit a plan to the Board by the end of the year. She said the Village had a right to representation on the Albuquerque Recreational Trails Committee and strongly recommends that someone be appointed to attend those meetings. There are two seniors from UNM that will have a preliminary plan for the Rio Grande bike trail on October 14<sup>th</sup>. She said the parking lot will be slurry sealed on Monday. The traffic signal near the elementary school has been started; there is a pause for equipment coming in. The drawings for the park irrigation are completed. The draft of the traffic calming measures is almost completed.

**2. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

None.

**3. CONSENT AGENDA**

**A. Approval of Minutes - Regular Meeting – September 10, 2003**

**MOTION:** Trustee Rembe moved approval of the Consent Agenda. Trustee Siegel seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

**4. PUBLIC HEARINGS AND APPLICATIONS**

**Attorney Mathews** swore in everyone that was to speak on Items 4.A & B.

**A. A request by Jeffrey and Patricia VanDerMey, for a Special Use Permit to allow parking for employee and service vehicles for a certain portion (approximately 10,800 square feet) of a dually zoned lot (C-1 and A-1) is zoned A-1. The property is located at (328 Ranchitos) 7820 4<sup>th</sup> NW and legally known as A certain tract of land being and comprising of Tract numbered Thirty-nine (39) of the Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District Property Map Numbered Twenty-Seven (27) within projected Section 21, T11N, R3E, N.M.P.M., Bernalillo County, New Mexico. This parcel contains approximately 1.870 acres. This application was requested by the Board of Trustees at their Regular Meeting on August 20, 2003.**

**Jeff VanDerMey** said he has seen the conditions and recommendations of the planner and the Board and agrees with the conditions.

**MOTION:** Trustee Siegel moved approval with the conditions as stated in the Planning Report:

1. Proof of connection to sanitary sewer and water within three (3) months for the Northridge Electric developed property (Lot 2 Sims Estate) and future development on Lot A, Lands of Northridge Electric;
2. Submittal of a plot plan indicating the portion of Tract B to be zoned C-1, with a total area for each zone;
3. Dust abatement in the parking area; and,
4. Construction of a solid fence or wall where the C-1 Zone abuts the A-1 portion of the parcel.

Trustee Rembe seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

**B. A request by George Rainhart Architect and Associates, P.C. for Northdale Plaza Partners for an Amendment to the Site Development Plan as approved by the Board of Trustees at their Regular Meeting held on February 12, 2003. The Planning and Zoning Commission heard this request at their Regular Meeting held on July 29, 2003 and forwards a recommendation of approval with conditions for the Board of Trustees consideration. The property is located at 6601-6609 4<sup>th</sup> St. NW and legally known as Tract A-1-A, NORTHDALE SHOPPING CENTER, UNIT 1, being a replat of Tract A-1, within Sections 28 and 29, T.11N., R3E., N.M.P.M., Village of Los Ranchos de Albuquerque, Bernalillo, New Mexico filed in the office of the Bernalillo County Clerk on June 29, 1998 in volume C3, Folio 183, together with Tract B-2, NORTHDALE SHOPPING CENTER, UNIT 2, as sown on the replat of NORTHDALE SHOPPING CENTER, UNIT 2, comprising Tracts B-1 and B-2 filed in the office of the Bernalillo County Clerk on August 31, 1978 in volume C-13, folio 189. These parcels contain approximately 7.4 acres.**

**George Rainhart** explained the new plan and the new location of the Bank.

**Administrator Rosoff** stated that Larkin had seen the plans, were working very carefully with both developers and that it all fits in with the plans for 4<sup>th</sup> Street.

**Trustee Siegel** expressed his concerns about the drive-ups and the traffic patterns. He suggested that no left turns be allowed from the northern road of the center on 4<sup>th</sup> Street.

**George Rainhart** addressed some of the traffic concerns and explained the plan to handle traffic flow.

**Maryann-ianne Woodard** stated her concerns with the drive-ups and the conflict with the vision of the Village Center. She said she was sorry to see this collection of small drive-up windows in the Village Center.

**Roger Erker** said the concern he has is the ingress and egress on his property and that the building by his property that is remaining, and not having the main door to the north, but to the

south side. He also asked about the timing of the project.

**George Rainhart** addressed Mr. Erker's concerns about the construction schedules.

**Leo Bartolucci** asked that the elderly people be taken into consideration, that the drive-ups would help them.

**Maryann Woodard** said if you start to think about all the drive-ups around town and think about what's around them, she thinks it's pretty hard to say they constitute a draw for neighboring retail. You should think hard about whether or not it will really be an asset to the rest of the center to have drive-ups.

**Mayor Hooker** mentioned that a neighbor of Mr. Bartolucci sent a letter in opposition to the requests for drive-ups.

**David Shute** was representing the Bank of America and answered questions from the Board about drive-ups.

**Trustee Rembe** asked about the design of the bank and it fitting in with the rest of the development.

**George Rainhart** addressed the Mayor's concerns about the bank style not being pueblo style as stated.

Motion was made; see below.

There was discussion about the fact that two lanes and not three were recommended for drive-ups. Minutes from the P&Z meeting were read where it was stated by the architect that one lane should not have an ATM; it should simply be a by-pass lane.

**Planner Tidwell** asked that some provision be made to allow for departmental approval for architectural design for the bank, since she had not seen the elevations or landscape plan for the new site of the bank.

**David Shute** said there was no problem with this.

**Trustee Rembe** asked if this motion takes into consideration Mr. Erker's concerns of shared access of parking lots.

**John McDowell** said he doesn't see drive-ups as progress at all and supports Ms. Woodard's comments.

**Trustee Rael** said he agrees that people would be better off walking, but business people know that the customers demand these and if you are going to succeed in business you

need to give the customer what they want. I think that is the reason the bank is putting these in.

**Mayor Hooker** explained the motion and final conditions.

**MOTION:** Trustee Siegel moved approval with the conditions listed in the planning report:

1. There shall be two drive-up (through) windows or ATM's, whichever they choose to install, located on the south side of the building, for security reasons.
2. That the traffic plan and pattern be altered in the site plan to show two-way traffic behind the bank building.
3. That they work with the Village Engineer to resolve the traffic flow pattern issues created by having two sets of drive-up windows facing the entrance off 4<sup>th</sup> Street.
4. That the architecture of the Shops "F" building be as illustrated in the color illustration submitted to the Commission.
5. That all previous Conditions of Approval for the Site Development Plan remain in force, with the understanding that there may be minor adjustments needed to accommodate the Final Design of the 4<sup>th</sup> Street Reconstruction Project; these minor changes may be approved administratively.
6. Approval of the architectural design elevations and signage will be submitted to the Board at the October 22 meeting.

Trustee Rael seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

## 5. OLD BUSINESS

### **A. Discussion & Approval to advertise, in summary, amendments to Chapter 26 of the Codified Ordinance, Subdivision, Vacation and Development.**

**Trustee Lopez** asked if the changes that had been made were to correct the ambiguities that were discussed at the last meeting.

**Administrator Rosoff** said those changes and other faults that staff saw that needed to be corrected or are recommending to be corrected. Some is just terminology, definitions.

**Attorney Mathews** said he had a change, on page 12 of 26, there is a state statute that says if a municipality doesn't act upon a final plat within 35 days of submittal it will be deemed approved. The language should say "*approval or disapproval shall be given within 35 days of the date of the submittal of the final plat that meets all the requirements of this ordinance.*" On page 16 of 26, the second line, we've got the Commission shall hold a

hearing on the final plat not later than 60 days and it *should be 35 (calendar) days*.

**Trustee Siegel** said on page 3, section M, he wants to add a new paragraph 4, *In residential subdivisions of greater than three lots, private right of ways may not be included in the total lot calculations. Family subdivisions as specified in the Zoning Code would be exempt.*

**Trustee Rael** said on page 6 of 26, under the general information and guidance, he never saw anywhere where we would request people to place all utilities underground, he thinks some language should be put in here that would request or even force them to put them underground. On page 7, line 11, it says City of Albuquerque and it should be the Water and Wastewater Authority, I don't recall the exact or proper name.

**Administrator Rosoff** said that part was correct; it did not need to be changed.

**Trustee Rael** said on page 23 of 26 on line 9, after sewer; *if such sewer exists within 200 feet*, that whole line should be taken out.

Discussion was held about this ordinance and the sewer ordinance, it was said that it was more for discussion during the sewer ordinance.

**Mayor Hooker** said so we leave this as is. On subparagraph B, page 22, on the width of streets, above on private way standards, given this is prescriptive language, can we grant exceptions after engineering or fire department review?

**Administrator Rosoff** said he would be hesitant to permit a variance under 20 feet, which is required by fire code.

There was more discussion about the variance issue, with examples of past cases being remarked on.

**Trustee Siegel** said on page 7, line 13, he just noticed, we have an acequia, does that include private ditches or MRGCD acequias?

**Administrator Rosoff** said it was intended to include all.

**Planner Tidwell** said on page 21, line 30 by turning radii, the word *or* should be *of*.

**MOTION:** Trustee Siegel moved to advertise in summary the subdivision ordinance as amended. Trustee Rembe seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

## **B. Discussion and Approval to advertise, in summary, amendments to Chapter 31 of the Codified Ordinance, Zoning and Zone Map**

**Administrator Rosoff** explained that the changes that had been proposed to the Zoning and Zone Map are the changes that had been discussed here at the Board meeting, typos and definitions that needed to be clarified. Particularly in Section 3, on page 39 and 40

there were a couple of new subparagraphs added for preservations for open space and vistas and use of right of way to clarify issues that have come before the Board. Also, in Section 4 we have defined, based on the discussion at the last meeting, character areas and used the map that was in the Master Plan because it actually did define the character areas down to the actual lot. There were a couple of things not addressed in this draft that staff would like direction to include when we publish it, and one of those would be to establish the zoning on the properties that were recently annexed to the Village and also to include a requirement to dedicate 7 feet of right of way on development in the C-1 Zone.

**Trustee Rael** said on page 31 of 39, line 6, under preservation of open space, he thought that it was supposed to be 300 feet from the centerline on Rio Grande.

There was a discussion of setback definition.

**Administrator Rosoff** said on page 11 about the common open space gives the definition and implies that recreational trails could be included in that. He thinks its okay to allow a trail to be in open space as long as the open space is larger than the trail. He does not think a trail by itself should be considered open space, but if a trail runs through the dedicated open space it doesn't have to be deducted. On page 49, we talked about the minimum lot width and decided in the A-1 Zone, we should still have a minimum lot width.

There was a discussion about minimum lot width and what that width should be in the A-1 Zone. It was agreed that a minimum width of 75 feet in the A-1 Zone was appropriate.

**Administrator Rosoff** said on page 38 under general conditions we've added the commercial properties in C-1 or VC Zone must connect to the public or sanitary sewer upon development or redevelopment, which is consistent with what you approved in other ordinances. He went on to explain the other additions on right of ways and encroachments.

**Trustee Siegel** said he would like to make a change in the AC Zone. Change the minimum lot width in that one to 100 feet. Section 12, page 77, line 13, it talks about the variance on the lot size. He thought the last paragraph (L) didn't need to be there.

**Trustee Rembe** agreed with removing the paragraph.

**Attorney Mathews** said there was a problem with the language (the word variance) in that paragraph.

**Trustee Siegel** asked that paragraph L be stricken.

**Planner Tidwell** said on page 75, E-2, where it calls for SEP's, that should be SUP's.

**Administrator Rosoff** said Special Event Permits were defined right before that paragraph.

Discussion about AC Zoning being administrative as opposed to P&Z and Board approved. Also how to public notice for administrative zoning decisions.

**Administrator Rosoff** said in the special use section on page 81, we've included, in the chart, the character area corridors and the comments that were made about them.

**Mayor Hooker** asked about the permissive uses in the C-1 Zone. He asked about the recording studio or radio studio without a broadcast antenna. We could add wording that says without exterior antennas are permissive use and anything that requires an antenna has to be a conditional use. (Everyone agreed)

**Administrator Rosoff** mentioned that on page 61, the very last permissive use, schools, maybe should be a conditional use.

**Trustee Siegel** said schools should be moved to conditional use.

**Trustee Rael** said even though schools may not be creating revenue, people coming in to and because of the schools brings revenue.

**VOTE:** Vote on moving item (P) from permissive to conditional uses.

Trustees Rembe & Siegel; aye. Trustees Lopez & Rael; No. Mayor Hooker; aye.

**Administrator Rosoff** said there was one small issue in the transfer of development rights. You'll see a note in the margin on page 44, this came up during staff discussion of Mr. Kantor's subdivision and whether there was a potential to transfer development rights to it. The way the ordinance is currently written, only the C-1 & VC Zone can have development rights transferred to it. He spoke about the newly annexed areas and what they should be zoned.

**Larry Abraham** said on page 31 – 39, regarding the setbacks, they would like to see the 290 foot setback reinstated, from the property line, not the middle of the road. On page 31 – 81, line 27, they have a concern about the density bonus. He said they would like to see the Rio Grande Corridor have no density bonus.

One other inconsistency he sees is that most of the A-3 property around Los Poblanos is in the Rio Grande Corridor.

**Administrator Rosoff** said that when the Master Plan Committee developed the character zones they created two different character zones in the Rio Grande Corridor, so there is a

rationale for having different rules and two different character zones. Whether this is the right mix of those or not, there is a rationale for it.

**Trustee Siegel** said the .67 was a mistake in the math, that it should have been .5. This has gone to the point where we have fussed with it enough, why don't we strike the whole density bonus?

**Trustee Rembe** said she thought the density bonus was really important.

**Trustee Siegel** asked if Mr. Abraham's neighborhood association represented the entire village or just the homes on Rio Grande.

**Larry Abraham** said they represented people from all of Los Ranchos. Also on number 1, of page 81, where it talks about no guest houses or accessory buildings shall be allowed other than single, detached garage buildings. That seems to be slightly restrictive on people that have much larger lots.

**Trustee Siegel** said no, that is just for the special use permit density bonus.

**Larry Abraham** said we'd like to see somewhere about the Rio Grande Corridor, whether by ordinance, maybe being declared a type scenic byway, with signs and perhaps planting along the entrances to the village.

**Planner Tidwell** said she has been in communication with the coordinator of the scenic historic byways program in New Mexico, and she has offered that we prepare a letter requesting designation which will in turn be submitted to the appropriate committee. That may be this coming summer, and she is working on that.

**Trustee Lopez** suggested the language stay the way it is with the exception of the math error.

**MOTION:** Trustee Rembe moved approval to advertise the Zoning and Zone Map Ordinance as amended in summary. Trustee Rael seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

## **6. NEW BUSINESS**

### **A. Discussion and Approval of Resolution No. 2003-9-2 - Authorizing a Request for Annexation be sent to the Municipal Boundary Commission**

**Administrator Rosoff** explained what the apartment property owners were requesting.

**MOTION:** Trustee Rembe moved approval of Resolution No. 2003-9-2. Trustee Siegel seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Lopez, aye; Siegel, aye; Rembe, aye; Rael, aye. The motion carried unanimously.

**B. Discussion and Approval to advertise, in summary, an Ordinance banning the use of cellular phones by drivers of moving vehicles**

**Trustee Siegel** explained why he asked that this ordinance be implemented.

**Trustee Rael** said he doesn't have a problem with allowing hands free use and said it would be impossible to enforce. He also questioned the horn honking and light flashing.

**Attorney Mathews** explained why he added the horn and light section.

**Trustee Lopez** said he did research and found, in the New Mexico criminal and traffic law manual, 66-3-843, horns and warning devices, and here's what it says *every motor vehicle when operated on a highway shall be equipped with a horn in good working order and capable of emitting sound audible under normal conditions from a distance of not less than 200 feet, but no horn or other warning device shall be used which does not produce a harmonious sound. The driver of a motor vehicle shall when reasonable necessary to ensure safe operation, give audible warning with his horn but otherwise not use such horn when upon a highway.* He thinks there might already be a statute in place and he does not see any need for this.

The trustees concurred to strike the horn and lights paragraph (B).

**Trustee Lopez** said he agrees with Trustee Rael that we limit it to hands free.

**John McDowell** said he agrees with the ordinance.

**Leonard Caldwell** asked if a survey had been done on accidents in the Village that involves cell phone use. Cell phones are important to him as a businessman.

**Larry Abraham** said he would have to argue that most of the accidents occur because of driver inattention, not because of cell phones.

**MOTION:** Trustee Siegel moved to advertise the ordinance in summary the ordinance as amended, with the removal of paragraph B, line 43. Trustee Rembe seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Trustees Siegel and Rembe, aye; Trustees Lopez and Rael, no. Mayor Hooker, aye. The motion carried, 3 -2.

**C. Discussion and Approval of Resolution No. 2003-9-3 – Authorizing membership of the Village of Los Ranchos in the Establishment of a Regional Transit District**

**Mayor Hooker** explained the ordinance and who could join and who might be involved.

**MOTION:** Trustee Lopez moved to approve Resolution No. 2003-9-3. Trustee Rael seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Lopez, aye; Siegel, aye; Rembe, aye; Rael, aye. The motion carried unanimously.

**D. Discussion and Approval to advertise, in summary, amendments to Chapter 22 of the Codified Ordinance, Sewer Systems**

**Trustee Siegel** said most municipalities have standards, things like clean outs, leak tests, minimum depths, bedding materials, slopes, pipe material and minimum pipe diameter. I don't know if we have any standards for these lateral lines, if they are inspected or if there is any quality control. We need to adopt standards for lateral hookups.

**Administrator Rosoff** said Bernalillo County inspects those for the Village under the building inspection process.

There was a long back and forth discussion on what guidelines there are and who inspects them for us and what regulations there are.

**Administrator Rosoff** said that they just felt that if they were spending tax payer money on a sewer system that there should be a requirement to hook up to it at some point in time.

**Trustee Siegel** suggested that the language in Section 3, change to *when the sewer is considered to be available when the connection points are within 200 feet of each other* and not the lot line. The same thing, change 500 to 200 feet, and if someone is not going to hook up to the sewer that they get a certificate of non-conformance to make sure that their system is working well and it's being inspected and pumped at least on a yearly basis. One other issue that came to mind was the Tinnin Farms issue, that's a private road, they maintain it, it's owned by the homeowners, but I sat here and listened to the presentation, they are going to run the lines right up to the individual property lines.

**Administrator Rosoff** said there are a lot of public and private easements in these projects.

**Allen Leatherwood** spoke about the pumping of septic tanks yearly for a small family being too often and asked if it would be a yearly fee or one-time.

**MOTION:** Trustee Rael moved approval to advertise as amended, a sewer system ordinance. Trustee Lopez seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

**E. Discussion and Approval to Dispose of Equipment that is Unusable, Obsolete or Worn-out.**

**MOTION:** Trustee Lopez moved to approval of the unusable equipment list. Trustee Rael seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

**F. Discussion and Approval of Appointments of Members to the Trails and Parks Citizens Advisory Committee**

**Administrator Rosoff** asked that Allen Leatherwood be added to the Trails Committee list.

**MOTION:** Trustee Siegel moved approval of the appointments to the Trails Committee. Trustee Rael seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

**7. FINANCIAL BUSINESS**

**A. Discussion and Approval of Resolution No. 2003-9-4 – Adjusting 2002-2003 Budget for Reconciliation**

**Administrator Rosoff** said this does not change the total spent, it only changes the departments we spent it in so that the budget amounts align with the amounts we spent and we don't get a write up from the state auditor on that.

**MOTION:** Trustee Rael moved approval of Resolution No. 2003-9-4; Adjusting the 2002-2003 Budget for Reconciliation. Trustee Lopez seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Lopez, aye; Siegel, aye; Rembe, aye; Rael, aye. The motion carried unanimously.

**B. Discussion and Approval of Resolution No. 2003-9-5 – Budgeting Expenses for CDBG Grant.**

**Administrator Rosoff** explained that this grant increases planning.

**MOTION:** Trustee Lopez moved approval of Resolution No. 2003-9-5 – Budgeting Expenses for CDBG Grant. Trustee Rael seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** Lopez, aye; Siegel, aye; Rembe, aye; Rael, aye. The motion carried unanimously.

**8. REPORTS**

**A. Mayor's Report**

**Mayor Hooker** reported that the Metropolitan Transportation Board was meeting the next evening. This is an emergency meeting because of lack of conformance. He spoke about the Economic Development Administration and the Council on Competitiveness. There have been continuing discussions on the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Air Quality

Board's activities in defining a problem of fugitive dust. There is a distinct possibility that new regulations and fees will become a burden on people in the village who have horse or agricultural property. There are concerns about unpaved roads and ditch banks.

**B. Administrator's Report**

**Administrator Rosoff** reported that a representative from the sheriff's department could not attend tonight's meeting. The auditor was ill and could not make tonight's meeting, but will present on Oct. 8<sup>th</sup>. The appraiser is working on the appraisal of the old village hall. He asked for comment on the draft conceptual design for 4<sup>th</sup> street by the end of the month. The Waste Management contract is up for renewal in the next six months and they will be addressing you at the next meeting with some plans they have.

**C. Legal Report**

**Attorney Mathews** reported that David Montoya has sued the village over the Robert Kantor project. He said he did need to speak with the Planning and Zoning Commission on their granting of variances and not citing hardships and the way they make their motions.

**D. Planner's Report**

**Director Tidwell** said she had been working with Ray Essiambre organizing data that will be available for use in the coming year. Dan's Boots and Saddles are undergoing a renovation and they are having their 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary in October.

**E. Fire Report**

**Administrator Rosoff** reported that both paid and volunteer firefighters will be attending the fire academy.

**F. Engineer's Report**

None.

**9. TRUSTEES INFORMAL DISCUSSION**

**Trustee Lopez** spoke about the proposed median on Osuna and Bernie Sanchez having concerns of it blocking access to his day care center.

**Administrator Rosoff** said it was just a concept and we have told the fellow that did the traffic study that his assumptions are flawed and he needs to recalculate it and that will shorten stacking distance for the left turn. We won't have a final design of the turn bays until shortly before we go out to bid.

**Trustee Siegel** asked Trustee Lopez about the water planning. He was concerned about curbing development to keep the draw on water down.

**Trustee Lopez** explained the disagreement between the Water Assembly and the Water Resources Board of the COG on that issue.

**10. ADJOURNMENT**

**MOTION:** Trustee Rembe moved to adjourn at 10:45 p.m. Trustee Siegel seconded the motion.

**VOTE:** The motion carried unanimously.

**APPROVED** by the Board of Trustees of the Village of Los Ranchos de Albuquerque this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2003.

ATTEST:

---

Annabelle Silvas, CMC  
Village Clerk